## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AMY SUDOR,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) No.
CAPITAL ONE BANK,	)
Defendant.	)

## COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, AMY SUDOR ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, and for her Complaint against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE BANK., ("Defendant"), Plaintiff hereby alleges as follows:

### Nature of the Action

1. This action is brought by Plaintiff pursuant to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a natural person at all times relevant residing in Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant is a business entity incorporated in Virginia with headquarters in Richmond, Virginia.
- 4. Defendant acted though its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

5. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. § 227.

6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this district as Plaintiff resides in this district and Defendant transactions business in this district.

# **Factual Allegations**

- 7. Defendant places telephone calls to telephone number
- 8. Telephone number is assigned to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 9. These calls are not for emergency purposes.
- 10. These calls are made in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
- 11. Upon information and good faith belief, based on the frequency, number, nature and character of these calls, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system to place the calls.
- 12. On or around February 16, 2017, Plaintiff called Defendant and instructed Defendant to stop calling her cell phone.
- 13. Plaintiff revoked consent for Defendant to use an automatic telephone dialing system to call her cell phone.
- 14. Defendant continued to use an automatic telephone dialing system to call Plaintiff's cell phone after the first conversation on February 16, 2017.
- 15. Since the first conversation on February 16, 2017, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system to call Plaintiff's cell phone at least two-hundred twenty (220) times.
  - 16. Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone multiple times in a single day.
  - 17. Defendant placed these calls voluntarily.
  - 18. Defendant placed these calls under its own free will.

2

- 19. Defendant had knowledge that it was using an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
- 20. Defendant willfully used an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
- 21. Defendant did not have Plaintiff's express consent to use an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
- 22. Defendant knew that it did not have Plaintiff's express consent to use an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
  - 23. Plaintiff is annoyed and feels harassed by Defendant's calls.

# <u>CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> Telephone Consumer Protection Act

- 24. Defendant's actions alleged *supra* constitute numerous negligent violations of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 25. Defendant's actions alleged *supra* constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violates of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$1500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

- (1) Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each and every negligent violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(B);
- (2) Statutory damages of \$1500.00 for each and every knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(b) and 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(C);

3

- (3) All court costs, witness fees and other fees incurred; and
- (4) Awarding such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 16, 2017 /s/Adam T. Hill

Adam T. Hill (IL 6293003) KROHN & MOSS, LTD. 10 N. Dearborn St., 3rd Fl. Chicago, Illinois 60602 Telephone: 312-578-9428

Telefax: 866-861-1390

ahill@consumerlawcenter.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff